

Network-side Localization via Semi-Supervised Multi-point Channel Charting (SS-MPCC)

Junquan Deng¹, Olav Tirkkonen², Jianzhao Zhang¹, Xianlong Jiao³ and Christoph Studer⁴

¹Sixty-third Research Institute, National University of Defense Technology, China
²Department of Communications and Networking, Aalto University, Finland
³College of Computer Science, Chongqing University, China
⁴Department of Information Technology and Electrical Engineering, ETH Zurich, Switzerland

Motivation

- Mobile location information acquisition is fundamental in building smart cites and intelligent transportation systems
- Seamless high-precision localization, especially on the network side, is a challenging problem in GPS-denied environments
- High-resolution sensing functions are envisioned to be integrated in future 6G RANs, which opens up new opportunities for high-precision positioning and tracking

Channel Charting (CC) Principle

- **High-dimensional** Channel State Information (**CSI**) strongly dependents on UE position, which is **low-dimensional**
- In CC, Manifold learning methods are applied to map CSI data to a channel chart where nearby points correspond to nearby locations in geographical space



State of the art

- Current network-side NR positioning techniques require rigorous calibration or accurate synchronization among network elements
- Conventional fingerprinting methods require a large number of densely-sampled measurements, which scales poorly to large areas and renders automation to dynamic environments challenging
- Absolute position information is not available in conventional CC
- CSI at a single BS is considered in conventional CC

Semi-Supervised Multi-point Channel Charting (SS-MPCC)

- A new framework for large-scale network-side cellular localization
- Based on CSI samples from spatio-temporal mobile locations, a few labeled CSI samples with location information, and side information (RSRP, timestamp)
- Accurate synchronization among multiple BSs is not required

SS-MPCC Framework

STEPs:

- ① CSI and side-information collection
- ② CSI feature extraction
- ③ Local CSI dissimilarity learning
- ④ Global graph construction
- 5 Constrained manifold learning



CSI and side-information collection

One CSI sample:

$$\mathbf{h}_{t,f} = \sum_{l=1}^{P} \alpha_{t,f}^{(l)} \mathbf{s}(\phi_t^{(l)}) + \mathbf{n} \Longrightarrow \mathbf{C} = \mathbb{E}_f \left[\mathbf{h}_{t,f} \mathbf{h}_{t,f}^{\mathrm{H}} \right]$$

Labeled CSI date set:

$$\mathcal{L}^{(b)}~=~\{\mathbf{C}_1^{(b)},\ldots,\mathbf{C}_L^{(b)}\}$$
 with $\mathbf{P}~=~[\mathbf{p}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{p}_L]$

Unlabeled CSI date set:

$$\mathcal{U}^{(b)} = \{\mathbf{C}_{L+1}^{(b)}, \dots, \mathbf{C}_{L+U}^{(b)}\}$$
 with $[t_1, \dots, t_U]$ and $\{\gamma_n^{(b)}\}$

CSI feature extraction

- MUSIC
- Power Angular Profile (PAP)

$$\mathbf{f} = [\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{\hat{P}}, \phi_1, \dots, \phi_{\hat{P}}]$$





Local CSI dissimilarity learning





Point clouds of virtual Tx points :

- a) without scattering loss compensation;
- b) with scattering loss compensation and DBSCAN clustering.

Dissimilarity metric deduced from a virtual Tx point cloud

Global graph construction

• For each BS b, we have a local CSI dissimilarity matrix

$$\mathbf{D}^{(b)} \in \mathbb{R}^{(L+U) \times (L+U)}$$

• Construct a global dissimilarity matrix

$$D_{m,n} = \left(\sum_{b=1}^{B} v_b\right)^{-1} \sum_{b=1}^{B} v_b D_{m,m}^b$$

where v_b is a reliability weight

Global graph construction

- If node n is in the set of k_e nearest neighbors of node m, nodes m and n are connected in the graph
- If the timestamps t_m , t_n of two nodes m, n satisfy $|t_m t_n| < T_{th}$, connect nodes m and n in the graph.
- Denote $\mathcal{A} = \{1, \ldots, L\}$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{L+1, \ldots, L+U\}$, if the nodes *m* and *n* are connected, then the weight $W_{m,n}$ is given by

$$w_{m,n} = \begin{cases} \alpha e^{\frac{-D_{m,n}}{\theta_s^2}} + (1-\alpha) e^{\frac{-\|\mathbf{p}_m - \mathbf{p}_n\|_2}{\theta_d^2}}, & \text{if } n, m \in \mathcal{A}, \\ \alpha e^{\frac{-D_{m,n}}{\theta_s^2}} + (1-\alpha) e^{\frac{-|t_m - t_n|}{\theta_t^2}}, & \text{if } n, m \in \mathcal{B}, \\ e^{\frac{-D_{m,n}}{\theta_s^2}}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Constrained manifold learning

Objective function:

$$f(\mathbf{Z}) = \sum_{n=1}^{L} \|\mathbf{z}_n - \mathbf{p}_n\|_2^2 + \gamma \sum_{m=1,n=1}^{L+U} w_{m,n} \|\mathbf{z}_m - \mathbf{z}_n\|_2^2.$$

Alignment cost
Manifold Smoothness
Tradeoff coefficient

Constrained manifold learning

$$f(\mathbf{Z}) = \sum_{n=1}^{L} \|\mathbf{z}_n - \mathbf{p}_n\|_2^2 + \gamma \operatorname{Tr} \left(\mathbf{Z}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{Z} - \mathbf{Z}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{Z}\right)$$
$$= \operatorname{Tr} \left[(\mathbf{Z} - \mathbf{Q})^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{J} (\mathbf{Z} - \mathbf{Q}) + \gamma \mathbf{Z}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{Z} \right],$$

$$\mathbf{J} = \operatorname{diag} \left\{ \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{L}, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{U} \right\} \qquad \mathbf{L} = \mathbf{\Lambda} - \mathbf{W} \text{ is the graph Laplacian} \\ \mathbf{Q} = [\mathbf{P}, \hat{\mathbf{P}}] \in \mathbb{R}^{(L+U) \times 2}$$

Channel Chart

$$\mathbf{Z} = (\gamma \mathbf{L} + \mathbf{J})^{-1} \mathbf{J} \ \mathbf{Q}$$

Performance Evaluation

• Mean localization error(MLE)

$$MLE = \frac{1}{U} \sum_{n=1}^{U} \|\mathbf{z}_{L+n} - \mathbf{p}_{L+n}\|_2$$

• *Kruskal's stress* (KS)

$$KS = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{n,m} (\delta_{n,m} - \beta d_{n,m})^2}{\sum_{n,m} \delta_{n,m}^2}}$$

- Trustworthiness(TW) and continuity (CT)
 - TW and CT have values in [0 1] and larger values imply better preservation of neighborhood relationship

Simulated Urban mmWave Cellular Network

- GIS map data from OpenStreetMap (OSM)
- 6 BSs with ULAs, each has M = 16 elements
- A ray-tracing channel model is used to generate the multi-path channels
- The carrier frequency is 28 GHz, system bandwidth is 256 MHz with 128 OFDM subcarriers
- UEs transmit reference signals with a fixed power of 23 dBm
- UEs move along the roads with a average speed of 5 meters per second
- We collect 3000 CSI samples from UE traces



SS-MPCC Performance



Visualization of the localization performance with different numbers of labeled CSI samples, number of unlabeled samples is U = 3000. The MLEs are 12.6, 6.8 and 5.6 m for L =50, 150 and 300 labeled samples. The positions for labeled samples are marked by circles.

Performance Comparison



Visualization of the channel charts learned by

- (a) unsupervised LE
- (b) LE with timestamps
- (c) LE with L=300 labeled samples and no timestamp.

Performance Comparison

TABLE IPerformance Comparison

		kNN	MPCC		SS-MPCC	
Timestamps L		× 300	× ×	↓ ✓ ×	× 300	√ 300
MLE [m]		18.8	N/A	N/A	16.7	5.6
KS		.2547	.3593	.1938	.2540	.0768
TW	K = 50 $K = 100$.9699 .9689	.9629 .9529	.9951 .9898	.9819 .9814	.9950 .9956
СТ	K = 50 $K = 100$.9641 .9591	.9711 .9645	.9943 .9912	.9735 .9719	.9968 .9973

Performance Comparison



Conclusion

- Side-information can be incorporated in SS-MPCC to support large-scale network-side localization and tracking in a distributed manner.
- Multi-BS CSI feature fusion and incorporation of timestamps to increase manifold smoothness can be implemented in global graph construction based on graph Laplacian.
- SS-MPCC is able to perform large-scale network-side positioning for scenarios with realistic UE motion, even with a very small portion of labeled data.
- SS_MPCC could be implemented for varying number of BSs, with spatially sparse labeled samples, and does not require accurate network synchronization.
- Future research directions include out-of-sample mapping to locate new samples on the channel chart for real-time positioning applications, and filtering algorithms for multi-target tracking